polarimeter cell. To the flask was added quickly 4.84 ml of a stock solution of 0.0712 N potassium methoxide in methanol-O- $d$ for run 9 ( 0.0590 N for run 10 ) with a syringe, which was used to rapidly mix the reagents, and transfer 1 ml of the reacting mixture to the polarimeter cell, as described in run 8. A rapid reading of the observed rotation at zero time was facilitated by presetting the analyzer near the expected rotation $\left(\sim-5^{\circ}\right)$ independently with a negatively rotating nonreacting solution. The first reading was made within 40 sec after the solution was mixed. Periodic readings were taken at the prescribed times. Eleven readings were taken in run 9 and forty readings were taken in run 10. The data were analyzed as described in the Results section.

## Appendix. Derivation of the Kinetic Expression for $k_{\alpha}$

The following definitions are used in the derivation of the kinetic expression for $k_{\alpha}$ : $\quad S_{0}$ is the initial concentration of (-)-I-h,S is the concentration of optically pure (-)-I-h at any time $t, T$ is the total concentration of I at any time, $f$ is the fraction of total I which is optically pure ( $f=S / T$ ), $P$ is the concentration of racemic II at any time ( $P=S_{0}-T$ ), and $f_{\text {II }}$ is the mole Chart III

fraction of II at any time. Chart III shows the major reactions involved before enough isotopic exchange of I had occurred to start to effect the amount of isotopicexchanged ( $\pm$ )-II formed by the reaction ( $\pm$ )-I- $d \rightarrow$ ( $\pm$ )-II- $d$. This chart also serves to define $k_{\alpha}, k_{\mathrm{e}}$, and $k_{\mathrm{i}}$. The rate of loss of I by isomerization to II is given by eq 6 . The rate of loss of optically active material

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} T}{\mathrm{~d} t}=k_{\mathrm{r}} S_{0}-\left(k_{\mathrm{r}}+k_{\mathrm{i}}\right) T \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by eq 7 , which by use of the definition of $f$ gives eq 8. Solution of eq 6 and 8 for $\mathrm{d} f / \mathrm{d} t$ and the use of the

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\left(k_{\alpha}+k_{\mathrm{i}}\right) S  \tag{7}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} f}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\left(k_{\alpha}+k_{\mathrm{i}}\right) f-\frac{f \mathrm{~d} T}{T \mathrm{~d} t} \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

definition of $f_{\text {II }}\left(f_{\text {II }}=P / T=\left(S_{0} / T\right)-1\right)$ gives eq 9.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-\left(k_{\alpha}+k_{\mathrm{r}} f_{\mathrm{II}}\right) f \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all aliquots of run $8, f_{\mathrm{II}} \leqq 0.25$, and $k_{\mathrm{r}} \approx 10^{-6}$ $\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. Thus, for run 8, $k_{\mathrm{r}} f_{\text {II }}$ can be neglected to a good approximation, and $k_{\alpha}$ calculated.

# $(\mathrm{CH})_{10} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Interconversions. The Electrophilic Addition of Chlorosulfonyl Isocyanate to Bullvalene ${ }^{1}$ 
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#### Abstract

Treatment of bullvalene with chlorosulfonyl isocyanate afforded $\beta$-lactam $\mathbf{3 b} \leftrightharpoons \mathbf{4 b}$, lactone $\mathbf{5 b}$, and lactam 6b. Longer reaction times and higher temperatures gave greatly reduced yields of the $\beta$-lactam. The structure of $\mathbf{3 b} \leftrightharpoons \mathbf{4 b}$ was deduced from its temperature-dependent nmr spectra. Spectral analysis was also employed for structural assignment to $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$, chemical confirmation of which was achieved by ozonolysis and esterification to dimethyl malonate in each instance. Exposure of $\mathbf{5 b}$ to $30 \%$ sulfuric acid at room temperature resulted in rearrangement to lactone 10, a mechanism for which is suggested. Double- and triple-resonance studies at 100 MHz were employed for structural assignment to this and the ensuing $(\mathrm{CH})_{10} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ isomers. Photorearrangement of 10 led to the formation of three isomeric $\gamma$-lactones: 14,20, and 30 . In the cases of 14 and 20 , the rearrangements are believed to be initiated by Norrish type I cleavage of the carbonyl group.


Despite the innate capability of bullvalene (1) for degenerate Cope rearrangement to more than 1.2 million equivalent tautomeric forms, ${ }^{2}$ little is yet known about the reactivity of this very interesting fluxional molecule. ${ }^{3}$ For instance, only two examples

[^0]of electrophilic addition to $\mathbf{1}$ have been reported, these being bromination ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ and chlorination. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ Our interest in molecules which exhibit rapid and degenerate valence isomerization ${ }^{5}$ prompted an investigation of the electrophilic addition of chlorosulfonyl isocyanate (CSI)
(4) These halogenation reactions have been formulated as 1,4 cycloadditions: (a) J. F. M. Oth, R. Merenyi, J. Nielsen, and G. Schröder, Chem. Ber., 98, 3385 (1965); (b) J. F. M. Oth, R. Merenyi, H. Röttele, and G. Schröder, Tetrahedron Lett., 3941 (1968).
(5) (a) L. A. Paquette, J. R. Malpass, G. R. Krow, and T. J. Barton, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 5296 (1969); (b) L. A. Paquette, G. R. Krow, and J. R. Malpass, ibid., 91, 5522 (1969); (c) L. A. Paquette and J. R. Malpass, ibid., 90,7151 (1968); (d) L. A. Paquette and G. R. Krow, ibid., 90, 7149 (1968); (e) L. A. Paquette, G. R. Krow, J. R. Malpass, and T. J. Barton, ibid., 90,3600 (1968); (f) L. A. Paquette, T. J. Barton, and E. B. Whipple, ibid., 89, 5481 (1967); (g) L. A. Paquette and T. J. Barton, ibid., 89, 5480 (1967); (h) L. A. Paquette, J. R. Malpass, and G. Krow, ibid., 92, 1980 (1970).
to 1. The dual goals of this study were to realize controlled modes of cycloaddition to 1 and gain synthetic entry to novel polyunsaturated molecules in order to examine their possible structural rearrangements. The present paper describes the results of these studies.

The Addition of CSI to Bullvalene. ${ }^{6}$ Admixture of equimolar amounts of 1 and CSI in methylene chloride solution at $0^{\circ}$, followed by standing at ambient temperature for 15 min , hydrolysis with thiophenol-pyri-dine-water in acetone at $-25^{\circ}$, and chromatography on Florisil afforded three products. In order of elution, these substances were subsequently characterized as lactone $\mathbf{5 b}$ ( $31 \%$ ), $\beta$-lactam $\mathbf{3 b} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{4 b}$ ( $19 \%$ ), and lactam 6b ( $15 \%$, see below). Significantly, if the solution was warmed to $40^{\circ}$ for 2 hr prior to work-up, the yield of $\beta$-lactam dropped to only $2 \% ; \mathbf{5 b}(15 \%)$ and $6 \mathrm{~b}(17 \%)$ were the remaining characterizable products. The progress of the cycloaddition reaction could be conveniently followed by both infrared and nmr spectroscopy. In the first instance, there appeared two bands at 1820 and $1770 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ immediately upon preparation of a $10 \%$ solution of 1 and CSI in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at room temperature. Repeated scanning of the $1600-$ $2000-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ region revealed a gradual diminution in the intensity of the $1820-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ absorption due to $3 \mathrm{a} \rightleftarrows$ $4 a$ and a corresponding intensification of the 1770 -$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ band. Nmr spectroscopy likewise demonstrated that although $\mathbf{3 a} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{4} \mathbf{a}$ was produced somewhat faster than either 5 a or 6 a at lower temperatures $\left(10^{\circ}\right)$, the N -(chlorosulfonyl) $\beta$-lactams were thermodynamically unstable relative to $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$ into which they were

## Scheme I







3a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$
b, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
(6) For a preliminary account of a portion of this work, see L. A.
Paquette S. Kirschner, and J. R. Malpass, J. Amer Chem Soc 91,3970 (1969).
transformed quite rapidly at $38^{\circ}$. Of further import to the mechanism was the observation that execution of the cycloaddition under either of the two previous sets of conditions, but with hydrolysis with the more polar sodium hydroxide-aqueous acetone combination, gave good yields of $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$, and variable but low yields of $\beta$-lactam.

Elemental analysis of the first substance to be eluted (5b) showed it to be a ( CH$)_{10} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ isomer. The presence of a lactone function was apparent from the intense infrared $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ carbonyl stretching frequency at $1765 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with a shoulder at $1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. However, because substantial variations are known to occur in lactone carbonyl stretching frequencies, ${ }^{7}$ an unequivocal assignment of a $\gamma$ - or $\delta$-lactone formulation to $\mathbf{6 b}$ was not possible on this basis alone. The ultraviolet spectrum of $\mathbf{5 b}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ showed end ab sorption only; therefore, the lactone was nonconjugated. The $100-\mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{nmr}$ spectrum of 5 b in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ was characterized by well-separated multiplets, spin decoupling of which permitted analysis of all the major coupling constants (Table I). ${ }^{8}$ Catalytic hydrogenation of $\mathbf{5 b}$ over $5 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ in methanol solution resulted in the rapid uptake of 3 equiv of hydrogen to yield a hexahydro lactone. The infrared spectrum of this substance exhibited $1758-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ carbonyl absorption. The degree of unsaturation in this $(\mathrm{CH})_{10} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ isomer, when coupled with the requirement that no conjugation
(7) $\delta$-Lactones. K. K. Cheung, K. H. Overton, and G. A. Sim [Chem. Commun., 634 (1965)] have made the claim that $\delta$-lactones can be assigned a boat or a half-chair conformation on the basis of their carbonyl absorptions. According to their data, $\delta$-lactones whose carbonyl stretching frequencies are in the $1765-1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region exist predominantly in boat forms, while those showing $\nu_{\mathrm{C}}=0$ at $1740-1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can be classified as half-chair forms. Although these correlations have recently been utilized for the purpose of conformational assignment [e.g., K. Sisido, K. Inomata, T. Kageyema, and K. Utimoto, J. Org. Chem., 33, 3149 (1968)], it is quite clear from the $\nu \mathrm{C}-0$ of $\mathrm{i}\left(1760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and ii ( 1734 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) [P. deMayo and R. W. Yip, Proc. Chem. Soc., 84 (1964); P. deMayo, personal communication] that such assignments are not


1

ii
unequivocal, particularly in polyunsaturated systems. $\gamma$-Lactones. Variations of more than $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are not uncommon, as borne out by the $\nu_{\mathrm{C}=0}$ of iii $\left[1780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$; T. Sakan and K. Abe, Tetrahedron Lett., 2471 (1968)] and iv [1761 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; F. Gantochi, O. Jeger, V. Prelog, and R. B. Woodward, Helv. Chim. Acta, 37, 2280 (1954)].

iii

iv
(8) (a) This spectrum was illustrated in the preliminary communication ${ }^{6}$; (b) nmr solvent shifts in lactones have been only very briefly studied: G. DiMaio, P. A. Tardella, and C. Iavarone, Tetrahedron Lett., 2825 (1966).

Table I. Nmr Parameters of Lactone $\mathbf{5 b}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$ solution)

| Proton assignment | Chemical shift, $\delta$ | Multiplicity and spin-spin interactions | Proton(s) irradiated | Result | Coupling constants, Hz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 2.58 | Doublet of doublet of doublets; ${ }^{\text {a }} J_{1.8 ;} J_{1.9}$; $J_{1,10}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | Doublet ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $J_{1.8}=8.8$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{H}_{9}, \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | Singlet | $J_{1.9}=8.8$ $J_{1,10}=8.2$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2.64 | Doublet of doublet of doublets; ${ }^{J_{2,3} ;} J_{2,4}$; $J_{2.5}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Doublet of doublets | $J_{2.3}=8.2$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | Doublet of doublets | $J_{2,4}=7.5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $J_{2,5}=8.4$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 2.90 | Doublet of doublets; ${ }^{a}$ $J_{2,3} ; J_{3,8}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ | Doublet | $J_{3.6}=5.5$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 4.38 | Doublet of doublets;$J_{2.4} ; J_{4.7}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet | $J_{4,7}=4.6$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{7}\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 5.21 | Doublet of doublets; ${ }^{a}$$J_{2,5} ; J_{5,10}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet | $J_{5.10}=9.7$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | Doublet |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 5.47 | Doublet of doublets; ${ }^{a}$ <br> $J_{3.8}: J_{8,9}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | Doublet | $J_{6.9}=11.0$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{9}\left(\mathrm{H}_{8}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 5.48 | Doublet of doublets; ${ }^{a}$ <br> $\mathrm{J}_{4.7} ; J_{7,8}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Doublet | $J_{-, \mathrm{s}}=11.0$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{8}\left(\mathrm{H}_{9}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 5.79 | Doublet of doublets;$J_{1,8} ; J_{7,8}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{7}\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 5.79 | Doublet of doublets; ${ }^{a}$$J_{1,9} ; J_{6.9}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{8}\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 6.08 | Doublet of doublets; ${ }^{a}$ $J_{1.10} ; J_{5,10}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet |  |

${ }^{a}$ Additional allylic coupling was clearly apparent in these instances. Although it was possible to measure $J_{3,8}(1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), J_{1,5}(1.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and $J_{4,8}(<0.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, spin-spin interactions between $J_{1,8}, J_{1,7}$, and $J_{2,10}$, although present were not measurable. ${ }^{b}$ Because of the very close proximity of these proton pairs, concomitant partial double irradiation of the proton in parentheses could not be avoided. ${ }^{c}$ These absorptions are broad. Also, the multiplets due to $\mathrm{H}_{1,2,3}$ changed significantly with dilution, a feature which was of considerable utility in the double irradiation experiments.
be present, suggested the possibility of three structures: $5 b, 7$, and 8.


5b


7


8

In view of the observed spin-spin interaction between proton pairs $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{3}(8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{4}(7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, these three protons must exist in a vicinal relationship such that $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is the central atom. Furthermore, $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and not $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was affected on simultaneous irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{8,9,10}$. On this basis, structures 7 and 8 can be removed from further consideration. In contrast, all of the observed chemical shifts and coupling constants are completely compatible with $\mathbf{5 b}$. Ultimate confirmation of this assignment was derived from oxidation of the lactone, decomposition of the ozonide with $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide in aqueous formic acid, and esterification with diazomethane. The principal ( $>90 \%$ ) volatile product was identified as dimethyl malonate. The expected methanetricarboxylic acid is known to undergo decarbonylation to malonic acid under these conditions. ${ }^{9}$

Cycloadduct 6b analyzed correctly for ( CH$)_{10} \mathrm{CONH}$ and displayed intense infrared carbonyl absorption at $1696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. It was therefore not a $\beta$-lactam; rather, this band was considered characteristic of a larger cyclic amide. In the ultraviolet, the presence of only a weak shoulder at $247 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 650)$ was interpreted to mean that this substance lacked extended conjugation.
(9) J. N. Labows, Jr., J. Meinwald, H. Röttele, and G. Schröder, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 612 (1967).


Figure 1. $100-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{6 b}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $\sim 38^{\circ}$.
The nmr spectrum of $\mathbf{6 b}$ (Figure 1) revealed a great number of similarities to that of $\mathbf{5 b}$. Details of the spectral parameters, including double and triple irradiation studies, are summarized in Table II (the numbering is the same as that empolyed for $\mathbf{5 b}$ ). The spin decoupling in this instance enabled a clear distinction to be made between protons $\mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{H}_{6}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ since $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ (to which they are respectively coupled) occur at different chemical shifts in contrast to lactone 5b where $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are superimposed. $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ were at similar chemical shifts and positive identification could only be made through allylic coupling ( $J_{3,9}$ ) since the vicinal coupling in both instances was to $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and to $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ (neither of which could be separately irradiated). Particularly informative was the close correspondence in all the coupling constants for $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ (compare Tables I and II). These data, taken collectively in conjunction with the like ozonolytic degradation to dimethyl malonate, indicated that this lactam possessed structure $\mathbf{6 b}$.

When $\mathbf{6 b}$ was treated with trimethyloxonium fluoroborate in methylene chloride solution at room temperature for 6 hr , imino ether 9 was obtained in $78 \%$ yield. The infrared spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ showed an in-

Table II. Nmr Parameters of Lactam 6b ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution)

| Proton assignment | Chemical shift, $\delta$ | Multiplicity and spin-spin interactions | Proton(s) irradiated | Result | Coupling constants, Hz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 3.12 | Doublet of doublets of doublets; ${ }^{a} J_{1,8} ; J_{1,9}$; $J_{1,10}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{H}_{0}$ | Doublet | $J_{1,8}=8.0$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | Triplet | $J_{1,9}=9.0$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{H}_{9}, \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | Singlet | $J_{1,10}=8.0$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 3.32 | Doublet of doublets of doublets; a $J_{2,3} ; J_{2,4}$; $J_{2,5}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Triplet | $J_{2,3}=8.0$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{H}_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{b}$ | Doublet of doublets | $J_{2,4}=7.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $J_{2,5}=8.0$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 3.10 | Doublet of doublets of doublets; $J_{2,3} ; J_{3,8}$; $J_{3.9}$ |  | Doublet |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ | Doublet of doublets | $J_{3.9}=1.6$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 4.02 | Doublet of doublets; ${ }^{a}$$J_{2,4} ; J_{4,7}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Doublet | $J_{4,7}=4.4$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{7}\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 5.73 | Doublet of doublets of doublets; $J_{1,5} ; J_{2,5}$; $J_{\dot{j}, 10}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Broad doublet | $J_{1,5}=1.0$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet of doublets | $J_{5,10}=10.1$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | Doublet |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 5.65 | Doublet of doublets; ${ }^{\text {a }}$$J_{3,8 ;} \quad J_{6,9}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet | $J_{6,9}=11.2$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ | Doublet |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 5.59 | Doublet of doublets; ${ }^{a}$$J_{4,7} ; J_{7,8}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Doublet | $J_{7,8}=11.2$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | Doublet ${ }^{c}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 6.07 | Doublet of doublets; ${ }^{a}$ $J_{1,8} ; J_{7,8}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{7}\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 6.11 | Doublet of doublets of doublets; $J_{1,0} ; J_{3,9}$; $J_{8.9}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ | Doublet |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 6.44 | Doublet of doublets;$J_{1,10} ; J_{5,10}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet |  |

${ }^{a}$ Additional allylic coupling was clearly apparent in these instances; all values were less than 1 Hz and were difficult to measure accurately because of the overlap of certain proton chemical shifts. ${ }^{b}$ Because of the very close proximity of these proton pairs, concomitant partial double irradiation of the proton(s) in parentheses could not be avoided. "These absorptions are broad.

Table III. Nmr Parameters of $\beta$-Lactam $\mathbf{3 b} \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{4 b}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right.$ solution, $\left.\sim 38^{\circ}\right)$

| Proton assignment | Chemical shift, $\delta$ | Multiplicity and spin-spin interactions | Proton(s) irradiated | Result | Coupling constants, Hz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 2.15 | Doublet of doublets of doublets, $J_{4.5} ; J_{5,6}$; $J_{5,10}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Triplet | $J_{4,5}=6.0$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | Doublet | $J_{5.6}=8.6$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $J_{5,10}=8.6$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2.52 | Doublet of doublets of doublets; $J_{1,2} ; J_{2.3}$; $J_{2,8}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | Doublet | $J_{1,2}=10$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | Triplet | $J_{2,3}=5.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $J_{2,8}=10$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 3.13 | 3-line multiplet; $J_{5,8}$; $J_{5,10} ; J_{8,7} ; J_{3,10}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ |  | $J_{8,7}=8$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | Doublet | $J_{9.10}=8$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 3.56 | Doublet of doublets; $J_{3,4} ; J_{4,5}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | Doublet | $J_{3.4}=6.0$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 3.81 | Doublet of doublets; $J_{2,3} ; J_{3,4}$ |  | Doublet |  |
| $\mathbf{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 4.40 | 4-line multiplet; $J_{l_{2} 2}$; | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 3-line pattern | $J_{1.9}=10$ |
|  |  | $J_{1,9} ; J_{2,8} ; J_{7,8}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 3-line pattern | $J_{7,8}=10$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 5.89 | 3-line multiplet; $J_{1,8}$; | $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | Doublet |  |
|  |  | $J_{7.8} ; J_{8.7} ; J_{9.10}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | Doublet |  |
| N-H | 6.58 | Broad singlet |  |  |  |

tense imino ether band at $1634 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the ultraviolet spectrum [shoulder at $245 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 165)$ ] was very similar to that of its precursor. The $100-\mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{nmr}$ spectrum of 9 was examined in both $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solution

and it showed the same general features present in the spectra of $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$. However, because of the complexity of the vinyl region a full analysis of the spectrum was not completed.

On the basis of its intense infrared carbonyl band at $1752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the second product to be eluted from the chromatographic column was recognized to be a
$\beta$-lactam. Further structural evidence was derived from its $100-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrum which was found to be temperature dependent, ${ }^{\text {sa }}$ a property recognized to be typical of cis-fused bicyclo[5.1.0]octa-2,5-diene systems. ${ }^{3}$ However, because valence isomers $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ are not structurally identical, a difference in ground-state populations is to be expected. Double resonance experiments at $38^{\circ}$ permitted detailed analysis of the spectrum and established, inter alia, that $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is spin coupled to $H_{1}, H_{3}$, and $H_{8}$, whereas $H_{5}$ is spin coupled to $H_{4}$, $\mathrm{H}_{6}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ (Table III). The nmr spectra of numerous $\beta$-lactams attest to the fact that the proton adjacent to amide nitrogen invariably resonates at lower field than the $\alpha$-carbonyl proton; ${ }^{10}$ accordingly, $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ can be assigned with certainty to the triplet patterns
(10) Unpublished results from this laboratory.
at $\delta 3.81$ and 3.56 , respectively. Because of the lowfield position of $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{8}(\delta 4.40)$ in this temperature range, it follows that these two protons experience an average lifetime which has appreciable vinylic character; conversely, the chemical shift of $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ ( $\delta 3.13$ ) requires that these protons reside chiefly in a cyclopropyl environment. These observations are uniquely congruent with the conclusion that $\mathbf{4 b}$ is more stable than $\mathbf{3 b}$.

The equilibrium constant for this $\beta$-lactam mixture at any given temperature can, in principle, be calculated from the equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{m}=p \delta_{\mathrm{v}}+(1-p) \delta_{\mathrm{c}} \\
p=\left(\delta_{m}-\delta_{\mathrm{c}}\right) /\left(\delta_{\mathrm{v}}-\delta_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \\
K_{m}=p /(1-p)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\delta_{m}$ is the observed chemical shift at a temperature $m$ of a proton undergoing rapid exchange, $\delta_{\mathrm{v}}$ and $\delta_{c}$ are the chemical shifts, respectively, of the vinyl and cyclopropyl protons in the absence of rapid exchange, and $p$ is the mole fraction of one of the isomers. ${ }^{11}$ In the present instance, $\delta_{\mathrm{v}}=5.82$ and $\delta_{c}=1.80$ (Table IV); ${ }^{12}$ at $45^{\circ}$, $\delta_{m}$ for the signal due

Table IV. Chemical Shift Differences between $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{8}$, $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ at Various Temperatures ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ solution)

| Temp, <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$ <br> Hz from <br> TMS | $\mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{H}_{10}$ <br> Hz from <br> TMS | Difference in <br> chemical <br> shifts, Hz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85^{\circ}$ | 419.7 | 311.7 | $108.0^{c}$ |
| 76 | 421.2 | 309.8 | 111.4 |
| 66 | 421.4 | 307.8 | 113.6 |
| 55 | 421.7 | 305.2 | 116.5 |
| 45 | 423 | 303.5 | 119.5 |
| 31 | 425 | 300.0 | 125.0 |
| $45^{{ }^{\circ}}$ | 436 | 317.0 | 119.0 |
| 31 | 438 | 313.0 | 125.0 |
| 0 | 443.5 | 309.5 | 134.0 |
| -21 | 446 | 304.5 | 142.0 |
| -60 | 582 | 180.0 | 402 |
| -75 | 582 | 180.0 | 402 |

${ }^{a}$ With benzene added as internal lock. ${ }^{b}$ With tetramethylsilane added as internal lock. ${ }^{c}$ Estimated accuracy after subtraction of the two values is $\pm 1 \mathrm{~Hz}$.
to $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ is seen to be located at $\delta 4.36$. Thus, the concentration of $\mathbf{4 b}$ at this temperature is $64 \%$. $K_{m}$ is then calculated to be 1.78 which represents a standard free-energy difference ( $\Delta G^{\circ}=45^{\circ}$ ) of $365 \mathrm{cal} /$ mol. The cause of the intrinsically higher energy of $\mathbf{3 b}$ is not known at this time.

Scheme I summarizes in mechanistic detail the observations described above. It can be deduced from the spectral studies that $\mathbf{3 a}$ isomerizes to 5 a and 6a at the higher temperatures. Thus, although these 1,2 and 1,6 additions to bullvalene can be satisfactorily
(11) For application of the same principle to conformational analysis, see (a) E. L. Eliel, Chem. Ind. (London), 78 (1959); (b) N. S. Bhacca and D. H. Williams, "Applications of Nmr Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry," Holden-Day, San Francisco, Calif., 1964, pp 153-154; see also (c) J. A. Pople, W. G. Schneider, and H. J. Bernstein, "High-Resolution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance,' McGraw-Hill, New York, N. Y., 1959, Chapter 10.
(12) These values are derived from spectra taken at $-75^{\circ}$ or below. Note that as the temperature rises, the two multiplets approach each other corresponding to a trend toward a $50: 50$ mixture. This trend obeys a linear relationship.
represented by collapse of 2 via four- and five-centered transition states, the operation of a concerted or nearly concerted addition of CSI to 1 followed by irreversible passage of 3 a to 2 cannot be excluded. In actuality, recent developments in the area of CSI cycloadditions would seem to suggest that the latter mechanism is operative. ${ }^{13}$

Acid-Catalyzed Rearrangement of $\mathbf{5 b}$. Although acid-catalyzed rearrangements of lactones are relatively few in number, alkyl migrations and 1,2-hydride shifts have been shown to occur most frequently. ${ }^{14}$ In view of the $(\mathrm{CH})_{10} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ nature of $\mathbf{5 b}{ }^{15}$ and the obvious capability of this polyunsaturated system for manifold cationic rearrangements, it was of interest to examine the behavior of this lactone toward acid. Accordingly, $\mathbf{5 b}$ was stirred in $30 \%$ aqueous sulfuric acid solution at room temperature for 16 hr . Extraction of the reaction mixture followed by chromatography on Florisil afforded a single isomeric product (10) in $58 \%$ yield. From an intense band at $1775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its infrared spectrum, the presence of a lactone grouping could be inferred. The ultraviolet spectrum of $\mathbf{1 0}$ showed only a weak shoulder at 240 nm ( $\epsilon$ 1030), thereby indicating a lack of extended conjugation. The $100-\mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{nmr}$ spectrum of 10 (Figure 2) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solution was particularly informative; not only did it reveal the presence of only four vinyl protons, but decoupling experiments permitted the assignment of nmr signals to the individual protons and the determination of all major coupling constants (Table V).


Specifically, it was noted that 10 contained a cyclopropane ring with $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ bonded to the carbon atom bearing the oxygen atom of the lactone function $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$, the latter being assigned on the basis of much chemical shift analogy. Another readily discernible point was the fact that $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ (adjacent to lactone carbonyl) was $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized, with $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ spin coupled to $\mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right.$ was also a saturated center) and vinyl proton $\mathrm{H}_{10}$. Since $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ interacted likewise with $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{7}$, its position in the molecular framework was secured. Similar analysis of the spin-spin interactions of $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ (Table $V$ ) necessitated the formal joining of $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$, respectively. These data could be uniquely reconciled with structure 10.
(13) T. W. Doyle and T. T. Conway, Tetrahedron Lett., 1889 (1969); E. J. Moriconi and W. C. Meyer, ibid., 3823 (1968).
(14) (a) D. S. Noyce, H. I. Weingarten, and L. J. Dolby, J. Org. Chem., 26, 2101 (1961); (b) L. J. Gough, T. F. Sanderson, V. I. Steinberg, and E. Wenkert, ibid., 25, 1269 (1960); (c) L. A. Subluskey and T. F. Anderson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 76, 3512 (1954); (d) A. C. Cope, J. M. McIntosh, and M. A. McKervey, ibid, 89, 4020 (1967).
(15) The point we wish to emphasize here is that a compound of formula $(\mathrm{CH})_{10} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is directly related to a $(\mathrm{CH})_{12}$ isomer by replacement of a $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-$ moiety with a lactone function. The chemistry and interrelationships of the $(\mathrm{CH})_{12}$ hydrocarbons known to this time are to be found in the following references: (a) G. Schröder, Chem. Ber., 97, 3131 (1964); (b) G. Schröder and W. Martin, Angew. Chem. Intern. Ed. Engl., 5, 130 (1966); (c) J. N. Labows, Jr., J. Meinwald, H. Röttele, and G. Schröder, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 612 (1967); (d) G. Schröder, W. Martin, and H. Röttele, Angew. Chem. Intern. Ed. Engl., 8, 69 (1969); (e) L. A. Paquette and J. C. Stowell, Tetrahedron Lett., 4159 (1969).

Table V. Nmr Parameters of Lactone $10\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$ solution)

| Proton assignment | Chemical shift, $\delta$ | Multiplicity and spin-spin interactions | Proton(s) irradiated | Result | Coupling constants, Hz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 1.05-1.45 | Complex multiplet; coupled to $\mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{H}_{9}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 1.68 | $\underset{J_{3,8}}{\text { Multiplet } ; a \quad J_{1.8} ; J_{2.3} ;}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | Triplet $(J \sim 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\begin{aligned} & J_{3,8}=4.5 \\ & J_{1.3} \sim 7.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 2.37 | Doublet of doublets of doublets; ${ }^{-1} J_{4,5} ; J_{4.6 ;}$ $J_{4.7}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ | Triplet | $J_{2,8}$  <br> $J_{4,7}$ $\sim$ <br>   |
| $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 2.52 | Triplet; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( $5 ; ~ J_{5,10}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | Doublet $(J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\begin{aligned} & J_{4,5}=8.0 \\ & J_{5,10}=8.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 4.60 | Doublet of doublets; ${ }^{a}$ $J_{3,6 ;} \quad J_{4,6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{H}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | Doublet Doublet | $J_{4,6}=6.5$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 5.25 | Doublet of doublets; $J_{4,7} ; J_{7,9}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Doublet $(J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $J_{7.9}=9.9$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 5.40 | Doublet of doublets; $J_{1,8} ; J_{8,10}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet $(J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\begin{aligned} & J_{1,8}=5.8 \\ & J_{8,10}=11.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 5.58 | Doublet of doublets; $J_{2,9} ; J_{7.9}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | Doublet $(J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $J_{2.9}=5.2$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 5.66 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doublet of doublets; a } \\ & J_{5,10} ; J_{8,10} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | Doublet $(J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ Additional allylic coupling was apparent in these instances; all values were less than $1 \mathrm{~Hz} .{ }^{b}$ Because of the very close proximity of these proton pairs concomitant partial double irradiation of the proton in parentheses could not be avoided.


Figure 2. $100-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrum of 10 in $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at $\sim 38^{\circ}$.

Although a detailed mechanistic study of the $\mathbf{5 b} \rightarrow$ 10 transformation has not been made, an entirely reasonable pathway is seen in Scheme II. Thus, pro-

tonation of the carbonyl oxygen atom followed by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond heterolysis leads to allylic cation 11. Focus-
ing attention initially on 11, we see that the stereochemistry of the carboxyl group is such that it is cis to the positively charged bridge as a result of its genesis from the lactone function. ${ }^{16}$ The passage of 11 to 13 can be formulated as a 1,2 shift of the ethylene bridge followed by cyclopropane ring formation. In the final step, this newly generated cyclopropylcarbinyl cation (13) is attacked intramolecularly by the proximate carboxyl function. The possibility exists that 11 and 12 could also cyclize to 8 and 7 , respectively. However, no evidence was seen for the formation of either 7 or 8; therefore, if these lactones were produced, they were thermodynamically unstable relative to $\mathbf{1 0}$ under the conditions employed.

Lactone 10 was examined by nmr spectroscopy at temperatures up to $170^{\circ}$ in tetrachloroethylene as solvent. As with 5b, there were no observed spectral changes over this temperature range.


Photorearrangement of 10 . Recent studies by Simonaitis and Pitts have shown that the solution photochemistry of $\gamma$-butyrolactones leads not only to the formation of open-chain products, but results also in carbon dioxide expulsion to afford cyclopropanes. ${ }^{17}$ Krull and Arnold have examined the mercury-sensitized vapor phase photolysis of $\gamma$-butyrolactone; ${ }^{18}$ under these conditions, cyclopropane can be obtained in $36 \%$ isolated yield. In view of the $\gamma$-lactonic nature of $\mathbf{5 b}$ and 10 and their possible interrelationship with the
(16) The possibility of enolization and subsequent epimerization of the carboxyl group during the rearrangement appears quite remote, particularly since it is bonded to a positively charged moiety throughout the bond reorganization process.
(17) R. Simonaitis and J. N. Pitts, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90, 1389 (1968); 91, 108 (1969).
(18) I. S. Krull and D. R. Arnold, Tetrahedron Lett., 1247 (1969).
$(\mathrm{CH})_{12}$ and $(\mathrm{CH})_{10}$ hydrocarbon series, we have investigated their photochemistry in preliminary fashion. ${ }^{19}$

Ultraviolet irradiation of $\mathbf{5 b}$ under a variety of conditions was seen to lead consistently to a highly complex mixture of products. In contrast, irradiation of a dilute ether solution of $\mathbf{1 0}$ through quartz with a 450-W Hanovia mercury lamp yielded three isomeric lactones in high yield, as shown by vpc analysis. ${ }^{20}$ Preparative scale vpc on a $5 \%$ SE- 30 column permitted ultimate separation of this three-component mixture.

The first lactone to be eluted ( $56 \%$ yield) was obtained as colorless crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 140-141.5^{\circ}$. The ultraviolet spectrum of $14\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ showed only end absorption, whereas its infrared spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ displayed strong carbonyl absorption at $1770 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and its nmr spectrum ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) consisted of multiplets at $\delta$ 2.10-2.50 $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1-3}\right), 3.20-3.40\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.60-3.85\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.20-4.40\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$, and 6.25-6.60 $\left(\mathrm{H}_{7-10}\right)$. Due to the fact that $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, and $H_{3}$ were closely spaced, measurement of coupling constants in this region of the spectrum could not be made. However, much information was obtained by irradiating this high-field multiplet $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1-3}\right)$ throughout the spectral analysis and additionally irradiating $\mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ in consecutive fashion with a second oscillator. Under these conditions, the following coupling constants were determined: $J_{4,7}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$; $J_{4,8}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz} ; J_{3,6}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz} ; J_{5,7}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz} ; J_{5,8}=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz} ; J_{7,8}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$; and $J_{9,10}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

In view of the low-field position of $\mathrm{H}_{6}$, this proton is located adjacent to the lactone oxygen atom. Also, it was clear from the triple resonance experiments that no measurable spin-spin interactions occur between $\mathrm{H}_{9}, \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and any of the protons labeled $\mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{8}$. On the basis of such information, part structures $A$ and B could be formulated. Furthermore, in light of chemical shift considerations, the carbonyl group


A


B


C
must be attached to either $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{5}$. However, because bonding to $C_{5}$ would produce a $\beta$-lactone ring in disagreement with the infrared data, the carbonyl group is necessarily joined to $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ giving rise to C . Since $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ in B do not interact with the protons of C , these units must be insulated by the three remaining $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized carbon atoms ( $c f . \mathrm{D}$ ), and two of these atoms must be conjoined by a single bond. The joining of $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ leads to a cyclobutene system, but this is inconsistent with the observed $J_{9,10}(5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{21}$ The alternative possibility,

[^1]i.e., the joining of $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$, gives rise to a cyclopentene derivative ( E ), a ring size compatible with


D


E
the $5.8-\mathrm{Hz}$ vicinal coupling constant. ${ }^{21}$ Attachment of moieties C and E in the three possible combinations affords structures 14-16.
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15


16

To distinguish between these possibilities, spin decoupling of the nmr spectrum of this lactone in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solution was examined. In this solvent, the absorptions due to $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ were better resolved and it proved possible to measure the following coupling constants: $J_{1,3}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz} ; J_{1,5}=<1 \mathrm{~Hz} ; J_{1,6}=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz} ; J_{3,5}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$; and $J_{3,6}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$. Furthermore, it could be demonstrated that $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is vicinal to $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ and that $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ flank the $-\mathrm{CH}_{9}=\mathrm{CH}_{10}-$ unit. Because of the requirement in $\mathbf{1 5}$ that $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ be vicinal to $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ and the observation that $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ do not spin couple, this structure can be eliminated from consideration. Structure 16 requires vicinal coupling between $\mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{5}$ and cross-ring coupling between $\mathrm{H}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{5}$. The magnitude of the coupling constants do not agree with such a structural arrangement. In contrast, the atomic arrangement in $\mathbf{1 4}$ is uniquely consistent with all the spectral data, in particular the coupling interactions in the cyclobutene ring. ${ }^{22}$

Although the mechanistic details of the photoinduced transformation of 10 to 14 were not examined in detail, a suggested mechanistic pathway is advanced in Scheme III. It is seen that the first step consists of a Norrish type I cleavage to afford diradical 17 which is capable of degenerate valence bond isomerization. Rebonding of the carbonyl group at the alternative allylic center constitutes a 1,3-acyl shift formally analogous to the photorearrangement observed with a number of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones. ${ }^{23}$ The unique geometry and internal strain in 18 may then combine to cause two consecutive photochemical [1,3]suprafacial shifts to be favored. ${ }^{24}$ This would lead via intermediate 19 to lactone 14.

The second lactone ( $24 \%$ yield), also a colorless crystalline solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 65.5-67^{\circ}$ ), exhibited an intense

[^2]
## Scheme III
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14
infrared $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ band at $1772 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and weak ultraviolet $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ absorption at $227 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 800)$. Although the nmr spectrum of this substance (20) was more complex than that of 14 , it was immediately apparent that only two vinyl protons were present and therefore that an additional ring had been formed. In $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, there was seen a series of multiplets at $\delta$ $1.00-1.25(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-2.05(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.70(1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.85-3.40(3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-4.00(1 \mathrm{H})$, and $6.20-6.45(2 \mathrm{H})$. Decoupling experiments provided the following facts: (i) $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ at $\delta 3.05-3.40$ are the allylic protons; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ ( $\delta 3.75-4.00$ ) is the proton adjacent to the lactone oxygen atom; (iii) $\mathrm{H}_{5}(\delta 2.85-3.05)$ is the proton adjacent to the carbonyl function; (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ ( $\delta 2.35-$ $2.70)$ is spin coupled to $\mathrm{H}_{3}(J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{8}(J=$ 7.6 Hz ), a result which necessitates that the lactone ring be five membered; and (v) $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ is not coupled to $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{H}_{3}(\delta 1.00-2.05)$.

In order to deduce the structure of this lactone, attention was directed to Lederberg's computer program ${ }^{25}$ which lists all the possible $(\mathrm{CH})_{12}$ isomers ${ }^{15}$ in terms of polygonal ${ }^{28 a}$ and nonpolygonal graphs. ${ }^{26 b}$ Because the presence in the photoproduct of a single $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-$ unit in addition to the lactone function is equivalent to a doubly unsaturated $(\mathrm{CH})_{12}$ isomer, only the diene representations needed to be considered. It is important to realize, however, that, in the absence of symmetry elements, one such $(\mathrm{CH})_{12}$ structure actually leads to four lactone structures since either double bond can be treated as the lactone function. Evaluation of all the appropriate structures in the
(25) J. Lederberg, NASA report entitled "Dendral-64. A System for Computer Construction, Enumeration, and Notation of Organic Molecules as Tree Structures and Cyclic Graphs." The authors thank Professor R. Hoffmannn for providing them with a copy of the computergenerated data.
(26) (a) Those molecules in which a continuous cycle of 12 atoms may be found; (b) those molecules which contain no continuous cycle of 12 atoms.
computer program with respect to the five spectral observations recorded above and the $\gamma$-lactonic nature of the substance resulted in elimination of most of the structures from further consideration. The various possible structural isomers, together with the reasons for their incompatibility, are summarized in Table VI. ${ }^{27}$ It follows that all but six structures remain after rejection of those isomers which fail to meet the requisite criteria.
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ACHEDA series
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AHBEEA series


AIBEDA series

Further refinement of the structural features of this photolactone was gained from additional decoupling experiments. $H_{5}(\delta 2.85-3.05)$ was seen as a multiplet resembling a doublet of doublets with spacings of 3.5 and 7.0 Hz . Irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ converted this multiplet to a doublet ( $J \approx 3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) while irradiation of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ absorption removed the smaller coupling. Since the remaining hydrogens had no effect on $\mathrm{H}_{5}$, this proton must then be adjacent to only $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{3}$. Structure 23 is inconsistent with this observation.

Similar spin decoupling experiments disclosed that $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ (a $1: 2: 1$ triplet of triplets) is coupled vicinally to $\mathrm{H}_{2}(J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}(J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and also to $\mathrm{H}_{6}(J=2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{8}(J=2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. This indicated that $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ must be flanked by at least $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}$, a fact which eliminated structure 21.

At this stage, it was helpful to consider the chemical shifts of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}$. Although some deshielding contributions to the chemical shift of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in 24 are conceivable, the relatively low-field postions of both of these hydrogens ( $\delta 1.80-2.05$ ) cannot be adequately accommodated by structures 22-25. However, all the peaks and multiplicities expected for 20 are found in the spectrum. These include the $J_{1.2}$ and $J_{1,3}$ cou-
(27) The compact notational system is due to Lederberg. A "span" is a line which links two atoms. If the two atoms linked are adjacent, the span is said to be of length $\mathbf{A}$. If they are separated by one atom, it is of length $B$. If separated by two atoms, it is of length $\mathbf{C}$, and so on to span lengths $D, E$, and $F$. Span lengths are shown below for a $(\mathrm{CH})_{12}$ isomer. It should be noted that one always proceeds in the same direction around the ring. Thus, a unique representation of an


ADHFDA
isomer is simply to give the span list, proceeding from an arbitrary point in a fixed sense around the ring, mentioning each span when either end is encountered. The above example is seen to be ADHFDA.

Table VI. Relationship of All Possible Polygonal and Nonpolygonal 12-Vertex Graphs Containing Two A Components to Photolactone 20 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  |  | $\mathbf{l}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | All isomers |  |  |  |  |
|  | AABBBB | AAEFBC | ABCFCA | ACADBC | AIBBCA |
|  | AABCCB | AAFFBB | ABDFBA | ACAEBB | AIBFCA |
|  | AABDDB | AAGBCB | ABEBEA | ACECEA | AIDBEA |
|  | AABEBC | AAGCDB | ABEEAB | ACGCEA | AIFFCA |
|  | AABFBB | AAGEBB | ABFADB | ACGEAC | AIGEAC |
|  | AACECC | ABBABB | ABFFAB | ACGEEA | 12A:1,12:AGBDB |
|  | AACFCB | ABBECA | ABGBEA | AEAFCB | 12A:1,12:AGCDB |
|  | AADFDB | ABCBCA | ABGCAB | AECGEA | 12A:1,12:AGEBB |
|  | AAEBEB | ABCEAB | ABGFCA | AFAFDB | 12A:1,13:AGEBB |
|  | AAECEC | ABCEDA | ACACDB | AGEGEA | 12A:1,13:ABFBB |
| (b) | 1-Oxa-2-oxo and 2-oxa-1-oxo derivatives only ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | ABBBDA | ABCCDA | ABGDEA | ADADDB | AIBEDA |
|  | ABBDAB | ABDFDA | ACEBDA | ADAFBB | AIEFDA |
|  | ABBDDA | ABFFDA | ACGBDA | ADBFDA | AIGDDA |
| (c) | Others |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8-Oxa-9-oxo-ABHECA |  | 9-Oxa-8-0x |  |  |
|  | 9-Oxa-8-oxo-ABHECA |  | 8-Oxa-9-0x |  |  |
|  | 9-Oxa-10-oxo-ACHBCA |  | 9-Oxa-8-0x |  |  |
|  | 10-Oxa-9-oxo-ACHBCA |  | 5-Oxa-6-0x |  |  |
|  | 8-Oxa-9-oxo-ACHFCA |  | 6-Oxa-5-0x |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{II}^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| (a) | All isomers |  |  |  |  |
|  | ACHCDA | ADHFDA | AHEGDA | AHFDFA | AHHDDA |
| (b) | 1-Oxa-2-oxo and 2-oxa-1-oxo derivatives only ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | ABGDAC | ABHDDA | ACHBCA | ACHFCA | AHEAEB |
|  | ABHDAB | ABHECA | ACHEDA |  |  |
| (c) Others |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9-Oxa-10-oxo-ABBDDA |  | 5-Oxa-5-ox |  |  |
|  | 10-Oxa-9-oxo-ABBDDA |  | 4-Oxa-5-0x |  |  |
|  | 10-Oxa-11-0xo-ABCCDA |  | 5-Oxa-4-0x |  |  |
|  | 11-Oxa-10-oxo-ABCCDA |  | 7-Oxa-8-0x |  |  |
|  | 10-Oxa-11-oxo-ACEBDA |  | 8-Oxa-7-0x |  |  |
|  | 11-Oxa-10-oxo-ACEBDA |  | 7-Oxa-8-0x |  |  |
|  | 4-Oxa-5-oxo-ADADDB |  | 8-Oxa-7-0x |  |  |
|  |  |  | III ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| (a) All isomers |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ADHABB |  | 12A:1,12: |  |  |
| (b) | 7-Oxa-8-oxo and 8-oxa-7-oxo isomer pairs |  |  |  |  |
| (c) | 8 -Oxa-9-oxo and 9-oxa-8-oxo isomer pairs |  |  |  |  |
|  | ABBDAB | ABFFDA | ABHDDA |  |  |
|  | ABDFDA | ABGDEA |  |  |  |
| (d) 9-Oxa-10-oxo and 10-oxa-9-oxo isomer pairs |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ACGBDA | ADBFDA |  |  |  |
| (e) Others |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10-Oxa-11-oxo-ABBBDA |  | 11-Oxa-10- |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ An A span is a double bond; the restriction to two A components is required because of only two sites of unsaturation in 20. ${ }^{b}$ Structures failing to provide the necessary $\gamma$-lactone unit. ${ }^{c}$ The first atom in span A is herein given the label of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, the adjoining vinyl carbon $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, and so on, in the direction generated by this span. ${ }^{d}$ Remaining structures possessing $\gamma$-lactone unit and also one of the following spin-spin interactions which are not present in 20: $J_{14} ; J_{2,4} ; J_{3,4} ;$ the numbers employed to denote the coupling constants are derived from nmr data (see text); the numerical prefixes denote the substitution pattern following the numbering system presented in footnote $c$. ${ }^{\circ}$ Remaining structures in which either the

moiety is lacking or $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ and/or $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ is part of a three-numbered ring; $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ are derived from nmr data whereas the numerical prefixes are computer generated (see footnote $c$ ). $\quad \mathbf{H}_{8}$ and $H_{7}$ must be noncyclopropyl in view of their chemical shifts ( $\delta$ 3.05-3.40).
pling constants, the magnitudes of which are those expected based on the dihedral angles present in a puckered cyclobutane ring. ${ }^{22 \mathrm{~b}}$ A cross-ring cyclobutane coupling ( $J_{1.6}$ ) of 2 Hz is also seen, in agreement with values previously reported for such interactions. ${ }^{22.28}$ Examination of models of 20 suggested that the dihedral angle between $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ is approximately $55^{\circ}$ which would lead to a small vicinal coupling constant. The measured $J_{1,8}$ value ( 2 Hz ) cor-
responds closely to the theoretical value expected for this spatial relationship. ${ }^{29}$

Further attempts to substantiate this structural assignment were not made because of very limited quantities of material. However, owing to the fact that all possible structures were considered and because 20 was found to be uniquely compatible with the spectral data, the assignment is evidently as soundly based as possible under the circumstances.
(29) (a) M. Karplus, J. Chem. Phys., 30, 11 (1959); (b) M. Karplus, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 85, 2870 (1963).

The conversion of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to $\mathbf{2 0}$ clearly requires a series of intricate rearrangements which ultimately involve the incorporation of a double bond into a cyclobutane ring. The genesis of 20 can be derived in mechanistically plausible fashion by again invoking initial Norrish type I cleavage of 10 , followed by ring opening of the resulting cyclopropylcarbinyl radical (17) to give 26 which undergoes a 1,2 shift of the bridged carbon and radical recombination to give 28 (Scheme IV). All

## Scheme IV


of these steps, including the pivoting of the bridged carbon, necessarily proceed with retention of configuration at the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ - center and lead to the proper stereochemistry in 28 . The simplest conversion of 28 to 20 may be formulated as a sequence of two sym-metry-allowed excited state reactions, i.e., a 1,3 -sigmatropic shift followed by $(2+2)$ cycloaddition.

The third photolactone ( $30,20 \%$ ), mp 218-219.5 ${ }^{\circ}$, was next considered. The infrared carbonyl absorption (in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) at $1770 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was comparable to that of 14 and 20 ; the ultraviolet spectrum showed end absorption only. The $100-\mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{nmr}$ spectrum (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) exhibited multiplets at $\delta 1.80-2.35(3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.20-3.65(4 \mathrm{H}), 4.90-5.20(1 \mathrm{H})$, and $6.00-6.55(2 \mathrm{H})$. Although these data indicate that 30 is a $\gamma$-lactone possessing only one ethylenic linkage, the substantial overlap of the various proton absorptions in a wide variety of solvents did not lend itself to double- and triple-resonance studies. As a result, the structure of 30 remains to be elucidated.

## Experimental Section ${ }^{30}$

Reaction of Bulivalene with Chlorosulfonyl Isocyanate. A. Room Temperature with Thiophenol-Pyridine-Water Work-up.

[^3]To a stirred solution of 2.15 g ( 0.017 mol ) of bullvalene (1) ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ in 20 ml of methylene chloride cooled to $0^{\circ}$ was added dropwise a solution of $2.32 \mathrm{~g}(0.017 \mathrm{~mol})$ of chlorosulfonyl isocyanate in 30 ml of the same solvent. Upon completion of the addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature during 15 min . The solvent was evaporated leaving a yellow oil which was dissolved in acetone ( 25 ml ). After cooling this solution to $-25^{\circ}$, thiophenol ( $3.63 \mathrm{~g}, 0.033 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added followed by dropwise introduction of a solution of $1.98 \mathrm{~g}(0.025 \mathrm{~mol})$ of pyridine in 15 ml of acetone during 30 min . The resulting solution was stirred for an additional 2 hr at $-25^{\circ}$ at which point 50 ml of water was added. The mixture was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 150 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and the combined extracts were dried, filtered, and evaporated. The residual yellow oil was chromatographed on Florisil. Elution with petroleum ether (30-60 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) afforded 150 mg of recovered bullvalene, $\mathrm{mp} 93-94^{\circ}$. Elution with petroleum ether-ether ( $1: 1$ ) gave $870 \mathrm{mg}(31 \%)$ of lactone $\mathbf{5 b}, \mathrm{mp} 73-75^{\circ}$ (from petroleum ether).
Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 75.84; H, 5.72. Found: C, 75.91; H, 5.85 .

Continued elution with ether-methanol (99:1) yielded 510 mg ( $19 \%$ ) of $\beta$-lactam $3 \mathrm{~b} \leftrightharpoons 4 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{mp} 151-152^{\circ}$ (from ether).
Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 76.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.36 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.09$. Found: C, 76.31; H, 6.48; N, 7.84.
Finally, elution with ether-methanol (95:5) afforded 390 mg ( $15 \%$ ) of lactam 6b, mp 172-173 (from ether).
Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 76.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.36 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.09$. Found: C, 76.27; H, 6.67; N, 8.04.
B. At $40^{\circ}$ with Aqueous Sodium Hydroxide Work-up. To a stirred solution of $1.24 \mathrm{~g}(9.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1 in 20 ml of methylene chloride cooled to $0^{\circ}$ was added dropwise $1.39 \mathrm{~g}(9.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of chlorosulfonyl isocyanate in 30 ml of the same solvent. After addition was completed, the reaction mixture was warmed to $40^{\circ}$ and maintained at that temperature for 2 hr . The solvent was evaporated leaving a brown oil which was dissolved in aqueous acetone and titrated with $4 N$ sodium hydroxide solution until neutrality was attained. The solution was extracted with methylene chloride ( $4 \times 200 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and the combined extracts were dried, filtered, and evaporated. The residual oil was chromatographed on Florisil in the above manner to afford 15 mg of recovered bullvalene, 270 mg ( $16 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{5 b}$, and $320 \mathrm{mg}(20 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{6 b}$. No $\beta$-lactam was obtained.
Hydrogenation of $\mathbf{5 b}$. A solution of $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{5 b}$ in 25 ml of methanol was hydrogenated over $5 \%$ palladium on charcoal catalyst at atmospheric pressure. Three equivalents of hydrogen were consumed. The solution was filtered and evaporated to give $102 \mathrm{mg}(100 \%)$ of crude hexahydrolactone. Three sublimations of this material at $40^{\circ}$ and $20-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ afforded white crystals, mp 170-172 ${ }^{\circ} \nu_{\max }^{\mathrm{CHCl}} 1758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 73.30 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.95$. Found: C, 73.30; H, 9.10.

Ozonolysis of $\mathbf{5 b}$. Lactone $\mathbf{5 b}$ ( $390 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 100 ml of methanol was treated with gaseous ozone until the uptake of ozone had ceased. At this point, there was added 2 ml of $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide, 2 ml of water, and 2 ml of formic acid and the solution was refluxed for 1 hr . After cooling the solution to $0^{\circ}$, the excess hydrogen peroxide was destroyed by treatment with saturated potassium iodide solution, the excess iodide being titrated with sodium thiosulfate. The aqueous solution was extracted with methylene chloride ( $3 \times 150 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and the combined extracts were dried and filtered. To this solution was added 0.82 g ( 0.02 mol ) of diazomethane in 20 ml of ether. The excess diazomethane was decomposed with glacial acetic acid and the solution was washed with sodium carbonate solution $(2 \times 200 \mathrm{ml})$ and with water. The organic layer was dried, filtered, and evaporated and the residual oil was purified by preparative vpc ( $10 \mathrm{ft} \times 0.25 \mathrm{in}$. column packed with $10 \%$ SE- 30 ). The principal product ( $>90 \%$ ) was identified as dimethyl malonate.
Ozonolysis of lactam $\mathbf{6 b}(380 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in identical fashion likewise gave dimethyl malonate as the chief volatile product.
Methylation of $6 \mathbf{b}$. To a solution of $\mathbf{6 b}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 25 ml of methylene chloride under a nitrogen atmosphere was added $0.67 \mathrm{~g}(4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of trimethyloxonium fluoroborate and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for a period of 6 hr at which point a solution of 1.5 g of potassium carbonate in 2 ml of water was added. The organic layer was dried, filtered, and evaporated to give $0.50 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$ of 9 . Two sublimations ( $50^{\circ}, 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and four recrystallizations from petroleum ether gave pure $9, \mathrm{mp} 49-50^{\circ}$;
 (m, 6, vinyl), 4.10-4.30 (m, $1,>\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{N}<$ ), $3.60-3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3,-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), and $2.50-3.10$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3$, remaining allylic).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 76.97$; H, 7.00; N, 7.48. Found: C,77.02; H, 7.11; N, 7.48 .
Acid-Catalyzed Rearrangement of $\mathbf{5 b}$. Lactone $\mathbf{5 b}(1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 6.4$ mmol ) was dissolved in 80 ml of $30 \%$ sulfuric acid and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for a period of 16 hr . This solution was added to 300 g of ice-water and the solution was basified and extracted with ether ( $4 \times 150 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined extracts were dried, filtered, and evaporated to give a greenish oil which was chromatographed on Florisil. Elution with petroleum ether-ether ( $1: 1$ ) afforded $0.64 \mathrm{~g}\left(58 \%\right.$ ) of $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathrm{mp} 127-128^{\circ}$ (from petroleum ether).
Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 75.84; $\mathrm{H}, 5.79$. Found: C, 75.82; H, 5.80 .

Photorearrangement of $\mathbf{1 0}$. A solution of $490 \mathrm{mg}(2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 10 in 450 ml of anhydrous ether was irradiated for 17 hr through quartz with a $450-\mathrm{W}$ Hanovia lamp. The progress of the reaction was followed by periodically removing aliquots and analyzing these by vpc. Evaporation of the ether left a semicrystalline residue which consisted of three products in the ratio of $56: 24: 20$. These substances were separated by preparative vpc at $160^{\circ}$ on a 12 ft $\times 0.25 \mathrm{in}$. column packed with $5 \%$ SE-30.

The first eluate ( $\mathbf{1 4}$, retention time 49 min ) was recrystallized from petroleum ether to give white crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 140-141.5^{\circ}$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 75.84; H, 5.79. Found: C, 75.91; H, 5.84.

The second eluate ( $\mathbf{2 0}$, retention time 64 min ) was sublimed at $50^{\circ}$ and 20 mm and recrystallized from petroleum ether to give white crystals, mp 65.5-67 ${ }^{\circ}$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 75.84; $\mathrm{H}, 5.79$. Found: C, 76.11; H, 5.61.

The third eluate ( $\mathbf{3 0}$, retention time 72 min ) was recrystalized from petroleum ether-ether to afford a white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 218-219.5^{\circ}$.
Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 75.84; H, 5.79. Found: C, 75.59; H, 5.89.
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#### Abstract

Azlactones such as 4-methyl-2-phenyl- (1) and 2-methyl-4-phenyl- $\Delta^{2}$-oxazolin-5-one (2) react with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate to give dimethyl 2 -methyl-5-phenylpyrrole-3,4-dicarboxylate (3) and carbon dioxide. These cycloadditions are preceded by a tautomerization of the parent azlactone to a mesoionic oxazolium 5 -oxide (19) which, as a cyclic azomethine ylide, combines further with the dipolarophile. Ultraviolet and ir spectral studies of 2,4 -diphenyloxazolin- 5 -one (23) support the formation of the 2,4-diphenyloxazolium 5-oxide tautomer (25). The equilibrium concentration of 25 in turn is highly dependent on the polarity of the solvent employed. 5 -Ethoxy-2,4-diphenyloxazole (13) reacts with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate to give dimethyl 2-ethoxy-5-phenylfuran-3,4-dicarboxylate (15) and benzonitrile.


In 1961, when we discovered that azlactones ( $\Delta^{2 .}$ oxazolin- 5 -ones) are capable of cycloaddition to acetylene derivatives, ${ }^{2}$ an abundance of literature was available on this class of compounds. ${ }^{3.4}$ In particular, their relationship to amino acid and peptide chemistry was responsible for the fact that the chemistry of this class of compounds was by no means "underdeveloped." Nevertheless, there had been no previous indications of their utility as partners in cycloaddition reactions.
A. Reactions with Dimethyl Acetylenedicarboxylate. On heating the azlactone of N -benzoylalanine (1) with 2 equiv of dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate in refluxing xylene, carbon dioxide was evolved and a $71 \%$ yield of dimethyl 2-methyl-5-phenylpyrrole-3,4-carboxylate (3)

[^4]was obtained. Alkaline hydrolysis to 5 followed by destructive distillation of the calcium salt gave 2 -methyl5 -phenylpyrrole (6) which was identical with a sample obtained from phenacylacetone. ${ }^{5}$


The positions 2 and 4 of $\Delta^{2}$-oxazolin- 5 -one become equivalent in this pyrrole synthesis. To avoid handling
(5) F. Angelico and E. Calvello, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 31 (II), 4 (1901).


[^0]:    (1) Unsaturated Heterocyclic Systems. LXXI. For the previous paper in this series, see L. A. Paquette, M. F. Wyvratt, and G. R. Allen, Jr., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 1763 (1970).
    (2) (a) The prediction of these spectacular properties of bullvalene was initially made on theoretical grounds: W. von E. Doering and W. R. Roth, Tetrahedron, 19, 715 (1963); the synthesis of bullvalene was subsequently realized; (b) G. Schröder, Angew. Chem. Intern. Ed. Engl., 2, 481 (1963); Chem. Ber., 97, 3140 (1964); (c) R. Merenyi, J. F. M. Oth, and G. Schröder, ibid., 97,3150 (1964); (d) W. von E. Doering, B. M. Ferrier, E. T. Fossel, J. H. Hartenstein, M. Jones, Jr., G. Klumpp, R. M. Rubin, and M. Saunders, Tetrahedron, 23, 2943 (1967).
    (3) For recent reviews, see (a) G. Schröder, Angew. Chem. Intern. Ed. Engl., 4, 752 (1965); (b) G. Schröder and J. F. M. Oth, ibid., 6, 414 (1967).

[^1]:    (19) The photochemical conditions employed herein are appreciably different than those reported in ref 17 and 18. This is a result of the fact that our work was completed prior to the appearance of these papers.
    (20) The photolysis generally proceeded to $94 \%$ completion after 17 hr . The cited yields are based on recovered starting material and are derived from the relative areas of the individual vpe peaks obtained from a Varian-Aerograph A90-P3 gas chromatographic unit equipped with a thermal conductivity detector.
    (21) The strong dependence of $J_{\mathrm{HC}-\mathrm{CH}}$ on ring size has been established by several groups of workers; cf., for example: (a) O. L. Chapman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 85, 2014 (1963); (b) G. V. Smith and H. Kriloff, ibid., 85, 2016 (1963). For cyclobutenes, the coupling constant lies in the range $2.5-3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, while for cyclopentenes $J=5.1-7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

[^2]:    (22) For recent data on the $n m r$ spectra of cyclobutane derivatives, $c f$. (a) I. Fleming and D. H. Williams, Tetrahedron, 23, 2747 (1967); (b) K. B. Wiberg and D. E. Barth, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 5124 (1969).
    (23) For a leading reference, consult L. A. Paquette and G. V. Meehan, J. Org. Chem., 34, 450 (1969).
    (24) The photoisomerization of vinyl cyclopropanes to cyclopentenes is a well-established reaction: (a) M. J. Jorgenson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 6432 (1969); (b) P. J. Kropp, ibid., 89, 1126 (1967); (c) M. Jones, ibid., 89, 4237 (1967); (d) for a review, see R. N. Warrener and J. B. Bremner, Rev. Pure Appl. Chem., 16, 117 (1966).

[^3]:    (30) Melting points are corrected. The microanalyses were performed by the Scandinavian Microanalytical Laboratory, Herlev, Denmark. The nmr spectra were determined with Varian A-60A and HA-100 spectrometers purchased with funds made available through the National Science Foundation.

[^4]:    (1) For paper LII in this series, see R. Huisgen and J. Wulff, Chem. Ber., 102, 1848 (1969).
    (2) Preliminary communication: R. Huisgen, H. Gotthardt, and H. O. Bayer, Angew. Chem. Intern. Ed. Engl., 3, 135 (1964).
    (3) For reviews, see H. E. Carter, Org. Reactions, 3, 198 (1946); J. W. Cornforth in "The Chemistry of Penicillin," H. T. Clarke, J. R. Johnson, and R. Robinson, Ed., Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1949, p 730; E. Baltazzi, Quart. Rev. (London), 9, 150 (1962); J. W. Cornforth in "Heterocyclic Compounds," Vol. 5, R. C. Elderfield, Ed., John Wiley \& Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1957, p 336.
    (4) Monographs published since 1961: R. Filler in "Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry," Vol. 4, Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1965, p 75; W. Steglich, Fortschr. Chem. Forsch., 12, 77 (1969).

